



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,181	01/23/2004	Sachin Navin Chheda	200314086-1	7860
22879 7590 06/19/2009 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				
EXAMINER				
HAMO, PATRICK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3746				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/19/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

jessica.l.fusek@hp.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10764181	1/23/2004	CHHEDA ET AL.	200314086-1

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

PATRICK HAMO

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3746

20090616

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply brief filed 24 April 2009 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

The examiner maintains both the statements that the arrows in fig. 1 of Winick are merely illustrative and that Winick discloses a duct system for conveying said first air flow and said second air flow to at least one heat sink as claimed. The arrows show a flow path from one side of the casing of Winick to the other. They are merely illustrative in that they are drawn to show air flow entering one said and flowing in a perfect and precise straight line through the casing and out the other side. The examiner maintains that this, in reality, would not be the case and that the flow will mingle and cross throughout the casing such that the flow over the entire casing will flow over the heat sinks as well. This does not teach away from the idea that the casing acts as a duct system, however. The arrows may be merely illustrative in showing the precise path of the air flow, but the general flow from one side of the casing to the other is explicit in the specification and is sufficient for an interpretation as a duct system as claimed.

/Devon C Kramer/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746